Zero Traffic Fatalities Task Force

Workshop #3
October 22, 2019
10:00 am – 4:00 pm









Task Force Introductions



Name



Organization













Welcome

Elissa Konove CalSTA Undersecretary and Task Force Chair

Progress to Date



Findings and Recommendations **Establishing Speed Limits**

Speed Enforcement

Geometric Design

Funding Allocations

Safety Data

Public Education

REMAINING ACTIVITIES

Last Week Oct 31, 2019 of Nov 2019		Two Weeks Later		Dec 2019		Jan 1, 2020		
Literature Review sent to Task Force		$\overline{}$	Task Force comments due to CalSTA		CalSTA finalizes report		CalSTA releases Final Report	











Agenda & Ground Rules

Mike Cappelluti, Lead Facilitator

Workshop #3 Agenda - Morning

Establishing Speed Limits

10:00 am	Welcome & Background					
10:10 am	NCHRP 17-76: Guidance for the Setting of Speeds					
10:55 am	Follow-up on Posted Speed: Rethinking Speed Limits					
Noon –	No-host lunch					
1:00 pm						



Workshop #3 Agenda - Afternoon

Speed Enforcement

1:00 pm	Overview of Speed Enforcement			
1:30 pm	Speed Enforcement: Issues and Considerations			
2:30 pm	Break			
2:40 pm	Speed Enforcement: Issues and Considerations (continued)			
3:45 pm	Next Steps			
3:55 pm	Workshop Evaluation			
4:00 pm	Adjourn			



Ground Rules

- 1. One person speak at a time.
- 2. Cell phones on silent.
- 3. Take calls outside the room.
- 4. Be specific and efficient with your comments.
- 5. Parking lot for later topics.
- 6. We will start and end lunch and breaks on time.













NCHRP 17-76: Guidance for the Setting of Speeds

Dr. Kay Fitzpatrick, Ph.D., PE
Texas A&M Transportation Institute









Follow-up on Posted Speed: Rethinking Speed Limits

Mike Cappelluti – Lead Facilitator Hilary Fong, Ph.D. – Co-Facilitator





- Workshop #1 and Advisory Group Survey Responses provided an initial framework
- Workshop #2 identified specific recommendations
- Received additional input from Task Force and Advisory Group members
- 1. CalSTA compiled all the input into the draft sent out last week
- We recognize that some Task Force members do not believe reducing posted speed is effective



- Overall: Confirm Task Force's posted speed recommendations based on majority input
- Obtain an informal snapshot on the level of support for each recommendation
- Identify implementation considerations

We are not **wordsmithing** today

Draft Recommendation #1

Modify existing prima facie special zones and establish new zones without the need for an engineering and traffic survey.

Draft Recommendation Details

- 1.1 Develop a statewide definition of a High Injury Network and create a new prima facie special zone for HINs.
- 1.2 Create a new Central Business
 District prima facie special zone
 and allow state and local
 governments to adopt a local
 definition that can be used to apply
 to the Central Business District
 zone.

Draft Recommendation Details (cont)

- 1.3 Create new prima facie special zones for streets that are adjacent to land uses that have high concentrations of vulnerable populations.
 - I.4 Increase the number of roadways around school zones that qualify for prima facie speed limits by broadening the conditions under which no speed survey is required in order to lower the posted speed limits in school zones.

Draft Recommendation #2

Revise engineering and traffic survey procedures and renewal requirements to allow greater flexibility to lower posted speed limits.

Draft Recommendation Details

- 2.1 Revise traffic survey procedures to increase the weighting of other factors.
- 2.2 Eliminate the requirement to increase speed limits after a traffic survey if the roadways conditions have not changed since the last survey.

Draft Recommendation Details (cont)

- 2.3 Eliminate the requirement to conduct traffic surveys in school zones in order to lower posted speed limits, beyond 25 mph.
- 2.4 Allow local authorities to post speeds below 25 mph if supported by a traffic survey.
- 2.5 Increase the rounding allowance to enable greater deviations from the 85th percentile speed as measured by a traffic survey.

Draft Recommendation #3

Develop statewide guidance, training, and education on speed management in addition to consolidating and clarifying existing speed laws.

Draft Recommendation Details

- 3.1 Consolidate and clarify statutory sections related to speed setting methodology.
- 3.2 Revise the California Manual for Setting Speed Limits to comprehensively cover speed setting methodology and law yet be user friendly.

Draft Recommendation Details (cont)

- 3.3 Develop state-sponsored training on the *California Manual for Setting Speed Limits*.
- 3.4 Establish technical assistance resources including a webpage to provide practitioners with an overview of speed setting methodology, best practices and case studies.

Draft Recommendation #4

Develop and implement a new, long-term, context-sensitive, safety-based approach to setting posted speed limits

Draft Recommendation Details

- 4.1 Develop and implement a new approach to determine posted speed limits that takes into account how a street is used and by whom, how protected bicyclists and pedestrians are from vehicles, and how likely it is that there will be a conflict between vehicles and other street users.
- 4.2 Convene an expert advisory group in 2020 to research and develop the new approach.



Lunch

Please return by 1:30 pm







Overview of Speed Enforcement

Jeff King, Safety Specialist

FHWA Arizona Division Office









Speed Enforcement: Issues and Considerations

Mike Cappelluti – Facilitator





In addition to **Automated Speed** Enforcement, what other aspects of **Speed Enforcement** would you like to discuss today?

Automated Speed Enforcement Topics

- Pros & Cons
- Policy Considerations
- Implementation Considerations



Automated Speed Enforcement

Pros & Cons?



Break



Automated Speed Enforcement Policy Considerations

- A. Locations
- B. Notices
- C. Privacy
- D. Citation type
- E. Equity
- F. Use of revenue
- G. Others?



Automated Speed Enforcement

 Implementation Considerations?











Closing Remarks

Elissa Konove CalSTA Undersecretary and Task Force Chair

Next Steps

Oct 31, 2019	Last Week of Nov 2019	Tw	o Weeks Later	D	ec 2019	-	Jan 1, 2020	
Literature Review sent to Task Force			Task Force comments due to CalSTA		CalSTA finalizes report		CalSTA releases Final Report	





Workshop Evaluation

Thank You!