

From: [Peter Cramton](#)
To: [LOSSAN.SB1098](#); [Catherine Blakespear](#); [lossancorridor@sandag.org](#); [frapa@dot.gov](#); [rrswebinquires@dot.gov](#); [pra@stb.gov](#); [rcpa@stb.dot.gov](#); [rcpa@stb.gov](#); [sdonaghy@nctd.org](#); [mlevin.press@mail.house.gov](#); [Tash.Salome](#); [Serna, Anthony@CalSTA](#); [governor@governor.ca.gov](#); [Garcia, Yana@EPA](#); [Huckelbridge, Kate@Coastal](#); [SanDiegoCoast@Coastal](#); [Davis, Alex](#); [Diana.Kutlow@sen.ca.gov](#); [SouthCentralCoast@Coastal](#); [CentralCoast@Coastal](#); [behlers@encinitasca.gov](#); [jduncan@coronado.ca.us](#); [keith.blackburn@carlsbadca.gov](#); [eshanchez@oceansideca.org](#); [loefflerR@sanclemente.org](#); [lheebner@cosb.org](#)
Subject: Opposition to CEQA exemption
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2026 1:00:49 PM

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization. Please be careful when viewing message

[Report Suspicious](#)

Senator Catherine Blakespear and other members of the California State Legislature,

I am opposed to the LOSSAN Working Group's recommendation to create a new statutory exemption from CEQA for railroad-related activities and infrastructure projects (Recommendation 4.1 (3)). CEQA environmental review of such projects is critical to protecting the numerous environmentally sensitive areas in California that the railroad tracks traverse, including the 351-mile LOSSAN Corridor from San Luis Obispo to San Diego. I am very concerned that such an exemption would lead to substantial adverse environmental impacts, particularly to the coastal environment of Southern California, which has already been substantially adversely impacted by the LOSSAN Corridor. Please promptly and resolutely reject this recommendation from the Working Group.

Respectfully,
Peter Cramton
Del Mar CA 92014

pcramton@gmail.com cramton.umd.edu +1 530 408 6698
University of Maryland and Max Planck Institute for Research on Collective Goods